

## **AGENDA ITEM 11**

**CONSIDERATION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON REVISING COMMITTEE MEMBER APPOINTMENT CRITERIA FOR NON-LICENSEES/PUBLIC MEMBERS TO SERVE ON BOARD COMMITTEES.**

## **Excerpt from May 20-21, 2021, Board Meeting Minutes**

### **7. Discussion and evaluation of criteria listed for appointment of committee members as specified in the Board Member Guidelines and Procedure Manual.**

Ms. Pavlovich asked if any of the Board members opposed non-licensee members being appointed to a committee.

Ms. Miller stated that she was unsure but said she could weigh if provided more measurable information on a specific non-licensee's background.

Mr. Bookwalter stated that he had not previously thought about the prospect of whether or not a non-licensee should be able to participate on a CBOT committee, but he did speak to the fact that the Board has non-licensee Board members that are fantastic contributors and he wished to hear their opinion on this topic. Additionally, he asked if non-licensees could be on a committee, should there be a limit as to how many can participate on each committee?

Ms. Do stated that a non-licensee member should be required to have some healthcare knowledge or association with the profession and the maximum number of non-licensees should not be greater than two.

Secretary Morcos said that she thought committees should admit public members to even out the voting process, but she felt it important that the majority of a committee consist of practitioners.

### **Public Comment**

Ada Boone Hoerl, stated that as an educator she supported lowering the minimum years of practice experience required because it promotes continuum of engagement. Ms. Boone Hoerl pointed to a new graduate being able to become a fieldwork educator after one year and then depending on if the Board decides two or three years of required professional experience for committee members, the new graduate would be eligible to participate on Board committees; she suggested these two options would afford them the opportunity to build a professional development pathway.

- **Richard Bookwalter moved that the committee member minimum qualifications in the Board's Administrative manual be reduced from five to three years of professional experience and be changed to admit retirees that have retired within five years of holding an active license and that the text be brought before the Board at a future meeting.**
- **Denise Miller seconded the motion.**

Mr. Bookwalter intended to amend his motion to include language that included two non-licensee members could participate on each committee.

Ms. Miller asked Mr. Bookwalter if he would be willing to keep that as a separate motion.

Mr. Bookwalter agreed.

**Public Comment**

There was no public comment.

**Board Member Votes**

|                            |               |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| <b>Richard Bookwalter:</b> | <b>Yes</b>    |
| <b>Sharon Pavlovich:</b>   | <b>Yes</b>    |
| <b>Lynna Do:</b>           | <b>Yes</b>    |
| <b>Jeff Ferro:</b>         | <b>Absent</b> |
| <b>Denise Miller:</b>      | <b>Yes</b>    |
| <b>Beata Morcos:</b>       | <b>Yes</b>    |

**The motion carried.**

Mr. Bookwalter referred to the possibility of a situation where a retiree that has been retired more than five years could also qualify under the non-licensee group and that the Board would need to consider all categories of a non-licensee. Mr. Bookwalter suggested that Board staff bring the language that explains the definition of a public member be brought back to the Board so that the Board can review it and possibly use it as a source document to borrow from.

President Pavlovich agreed with Mr. Bookwalter and asked that in the interest of time that the Board revisit the topic of how many non-licensees should be allowed to participate on a committee and who is included under the non-licensee title as well as the language regarding public members be brought before the Board at the August meeting.